Document 1

Filed 07/25/2008

Page 1 of 29 mu

FILED

JUL 2 5 2008

130

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HEREMARID MOINTERING PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Name Salage (Last) (First) (Initial)

Prisoner Number V17246

Institutional Address Avenal State Prison

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT CALIFORNIS 5 7 2

Full Name of Petitioner Case No. (To be provided by the clerk of court)

vs.

B. Lohry, Warden

Name of Respondent
(Warden or jailor)

Read Comments Carefully Before Filling In

When and Where to File

You should file in the Northern District if you were convicted and sentenced in one of these counties: Alameda, Contra Costa, Del Norte, Humboldt, Lake, Marin, Mendocino, Monterey, Napa, San Benito, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, San Francisco, San Mateo and Sonoma. You should also file in this district if you are challenging the manner in which your sentence is being executed, such as loss of good time credits, and you are confined in one of these counties. Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a).

If you are challenging your conviction or sentence and you were <u>not</u> convicted and sentenced in one of the above-named fifteen counties, your petition will likely be transferred to the United States District Court for the district in which the state court that convicted and sentenced you is located. If you are challenging the execution of your sentence and you are not in prison in one of these counties, your

petition will likely be transferred to the district court for the district that includes the institution where you are confined. Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b).

Who to Name as Respondent

You must name the person in whose actual custody you are. This usually means the Warden or jailor. Do not name the State of California, a city, a county or the superior court of the county in which you are imprisoned or by whom you were convicted and sentenced. These are not proper respondents.

If you are not presently in custody pursuant to the state judgment against which you seek relief but may be subject to such custody in the future (e.g., detainers), you must name the person in whose custody you are now and the Attorney General of the state in which the judgment you seek to attack was entered.

Α

A. INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR CONVICTION AND SENTENCE
1. What sentence are you challenging in this petition? Liminal LonViction (a) Name and location of court that imposed sentence (for example; Alameda County Superior Court, Oakland):
Court Court Court Martinez Location
(b) Case number, if known 021689-5 (c) Date and terms of sentence 12-5-03 (d) Are you now in custody serving this term? (Custody means being in jail, on parole or probation, etc.) Yes No
2. For what crime were you given this sentence? (If your petition challenges a sentence for more than one crime, list each crime separately using Penal Code numbers if known. If you are challenging more than one sentence, you should file a different petition for each sentence.)
P.C 236/237 P.C 273.5 (A) P.C 245 (A) 1
3. Did you have any of the following?
Arraignment: Yes X No Preliminary Hearing: Yes No Motion to Suppress: Yes No

						•	•
4.	How did	you plead?				•	
Guilty	_ Not	Guilty	Nolo Cor	ntendere			
Any other ple	a (specify	·)					
5.	If you v	vent to trial, v	vhat kind of trial	did you have?			
JuryX	Judge a	lone	Judge alone on	a transcript	_		
6.	Ďid yo	u testify at yo	ur trial?Yes 🔑	No X			
7.	Did yo	u have an atto	orney at the follo	owing proceedings	3;	-	
(a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g)	Prelim Time of Trial Senter Appea		No No No No no proceeding	Yes	No 🌉		
8.	Did y	ou appeal you	r conviction?	Yes 🗶 No 🏯			
	(a)	If you did,	to what court(s)	did you appeal?			
Court of Ap		Yes 🔀		2007		Wied	
Supreme C	-	Yes X	No 💆	(Year) <u>2007</u> (Year)		devied (lesult)	
Any other	court	Yes 🗶	No 🔛	(Year)		(Result)	
petition?	(b)	If you app	ealed, were the	grounds the same Yes 🗶 No	as those that you	are raising i	in this
	(c)	Was there	an opinion?	Yes 🦠 No 🕽	<		
	(d)	Did you s	eek permission t	o file a late appea Yes !	l under Rule 31(a) No X)?	

If you did, give the name of the court and the result:

9. Other than appeals, have you previously filed any petitions, applications or motions with respect to this conviction in any court, state or federal? Yes No ____

Note: If you previously filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus in federal court that challenged the same conviction you are challenging now and if that petition was denied or dismissed with prejudice, you must first file a motion in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit for an order authorizing the district court to consider this petition. You may not file a second or subsequent federal authorizing the district court to consider this petition. You may not file a second or subsequent federal habeas petition without first obtaining such an order from the Ninth Circuit. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b).

	Name of Court	
	Type of Proceeding Grounds raised (Be brief but specific):	
	a.	
	b	
	C	
	,	Date of Result
П.	Name of Court	
	Type of Proceeding Grounds raised (Be brief but specific):	
	a	
	b	

Type of	Proceeding
Ground	s raised (Be brief but specific):
a.	
b.	
c.	
d.	CD 1
Result	Date of Result
	(b) Is any petition, appeal or other post-conviction proceeding now pending in any
ourt?	Yes No No
-	
	(Name and location of court)

B. GROUNDS FOR RELIEF

State briefly every reason that you believe you are being confined unlawfully. Give facts to support each claim. For example, what legal right or privilege were you denied? What happened? Who made the error? Avoid legal arguments with numerous case citations. Attach extra paper if you need more space. Answer the same questions for each claim.

Note: You must present ALL your claims in your first federal habeas petition. Subsequent petitions may be dismissed without review on the merits. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b); McCleskey v. Zant, 499 U.S. 467, 111 S. Ct. 1454, 113 L. Ed. 2d 517 (1991).

Claim One: The Trial Court imposed an Illegal Sentence

for the Above liste. The Sentence Reduce	as to ano	Ther aggra	avaled 1	erm of 8	year Which	h 18
yourd The Term an addition Supporting fact	IN VIOLATIO	on of Peti	10Ners	due Proc	cess Rights	9
addition Supporting fact	See Exhibi	13 AZ7082	<u></u>			
Claim Two:					-	
	<u></u>					
Supporting Facts:						
	<u></u>					•
				<u> </u>		
Claim Three:						
Claim Tilles						
Supporting Facts:						
						
	•					
			······································			
If any of these grou	nds was not p	oreviously pre	esented to a	ny other cour	t, state briefly	which
ounds were not presented						

Executed on July 15, 2008 Lary Sugge Signature of Petitioner

List, by name and citation only, any cases that you think are close factually to are an example of the error you believe occurred in your case. Do not discuss the hole	yours so that they ding or reasoning of
these cases:	
	<u></u>
_	
Do you have an attorney for this petition? Yes No X	
If you do, give the name and address of your attorney:	
WHEREFORE, petitioner prays that the Court grant petitioner relief to whice entitled in this proceeding. I verify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is tr	ch s/he may be

(rev. 5/96)

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I THE UNDERSIGNED, CERTIFY THAT I AM OVER THE AGE OF EIGHTEEN (18) YEARS	OF AGE. THAT I
CAUSED TO BE SERVED A COPY OF THE FOLLOWING DCOUMENT: ENTITLED: Writ of habeas Corpus / ExhibiTs AA-1- A1-1.	
A1-1.	
BY PLACING THE SAME IN AN ENVELOPE, SEALING IT BEFORE A CORRECT AND DEPOSITING IT IN THE [UNITED STATES MAIL] AT AVENAL STATE PRISON AN	TIONAL OFFICER,
Afformer Exemend office STOTE of Conformia STOTE OF 15-06 GATE AVE SUITE 11000	. •
TO THE FOLLOWING: Afforma General office STATE of California STATE of California 455 Golden Gate AVE SUITE 11000 455 Golden Gate AVE SUITE 11000 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3664	E_{ij}
EXECUTED ON JULY , 15 , 20 8 AT AVENAL STATE F	RISON, AVENAL CALIFORNIA
I, COST SERGE V17266 DECLARE UNDER THE PENALTY OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.	OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAW
Son Son	Chrispe Trure of Declarant
<u>Gary</u>	Save T NAME OF DECLARANT

PRO PER.

Case 5:08-cv-03572-RMW Document 1 Filed 07/25/2008 Page 11 of 29

LIGINAL WRIT DE

HGLEAT DARPUS

EX "A"

" t= xhikits AAI-b"

Name GARY SAVAGE V/7266	MC-275
Name GARI SAVAGE VIIZGO	
Address P.O. BOX-705 SA 136L	
SOLEDAD, CA. 93960-0705	
CDC or ID Number	
SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY	OF CONTRA COSTA
	(Court)
	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
GARY SAVAGE	
Petitioner vs.	No.
B. CURRY, Warden	(To be supplied by the Clerk of the Court)

INSTRUCTIONS—READ CAREFULLY

- If you are challenging an order of commitment or a criminal conviction and are filing this petition in the Superior Court, you should file it in the county that made the order.
- If you are challenging the conditions of your confinement and are filing this petition in the Superior Court,
 you should file it in the county in which you are confined.
- Read the entire form before answering any questions.
- This petition must be clearly handwritten in ink or typed. You should exercise care to make sure all answers are true and
 correct. Because the petition includes a verification, the making of a statement that you know is false may result in a conviction
 for perjury.
- Answer all applicable questions in the proper spaces. If you need additional space, add an extra page and indicate that your
 answer is "continued on additional page."
- If you are filing this petition in the Superior Court, you need file only the original unless local rules require additional copies.
 Many courts require more copies.
- If you are filing this petition in the Court of Appeal, file the original and four copies of the petition and, if separately bound, one copy of any supporting documents.
- If you are filing this petition in the California Supreme Court, file the original and ten copies of the petition and, if separately bound, two copies of any supporting documents.
- Notify the Clerk of the Court in writing if you change your address after filing your petition.
- In most cases, the law requires service of a copy of the petition on the district attorney, city attorney, or city prosecutor. See
 Penal Code section 1475 and Government Code section 72193. You may serve the copy by mail.

Approved by the Judicial Council of California for use under Rule 60 of the California Rules of Court [as amended effective January 1, 2005]. Subsequent amendments to Rule 60 may change the number of copies to be furnished to the Supreme Court and Court of Appeal.

Page one of six

Respondent

Case 5:08-cv-03572-RMW Document 1 Filed 07/25/2008 Page 13 of 29

	This petition concerns:
	A conviction Parole
	X A sentence Credits
	Jail or prison conditions Prison discipline
	Other (specify):
1.	Your name: Gary Savage
2.	Where are you incarcerated?Soledad State Prison
	Why are you in custody? X Criminal Conviction Civil Commitment
	Answer subdivisions a, through i, to the best of your ability.
	 State reason for civil commitment or, if criminal conviction, state nature of offense and enhancements (for example, "robbery with use of a deadly weapon").
	False Imprison by Violence/Corporal Injury to Spouse/Assault by
	Force Likely.
	b. Penal or other code sections: PC § 236/237 PC § 273.5(a) PC § 245(a)(1)
	c. Name and location of sentencing or committing court: <u>Contra Costa County Superior Court</u>
	d. Case number: 021689-5
	e. Date convicted or committed: 4-2-03
	f. Date sentenced:
	g. Length of sentence: Eight (8) Years
	h. When do you expect to be released? 12/19/08
	i. Were you represented by counsel in the trial court? X Yes. No. If yes, state the attorney's name and address:
	Paul Mariano, Public Defender, Contra Costa County Public
	Defenders Office.
4	What was the LAST plea you entered? (check one)
	Cther:
	Not guilty XX Guilty Noio Contendere Other.
5	i. If you pleaded not guilty, what kind of trial did you have?
5	

run	enhancement. (If you have adultional great do not be additional grounds in order.) additional grounds, make copies of page four and number the additional grounds in order.)	
	The trial court imposed an illegal sentence.	
_		
1.	Tell your story briefly without citing cases or law. If you are challenging the legality of your conviction is based. If necessary, attach additional pages. CAUTION: You must example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically settifailed to do and how that affected your trial. Failure to allege sufficient facts will result in the Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.) A rule of thumb to follow is: who did exactly what to violating place (where). (If available, attach declarations, relevant records, transcripts, or other documents.)	ng forth what your attorney did o denial of your petition. (See In rate your rights at what time (where
	(SEE ATTACHED)	
		_
b .	 Supporting cases, rules, or other authority (optional): (Briefly discuss, or list by name and citation, the cases or other authorities that you think a attach an extra page.) 	re relevant to your claim. If nece
	Cunningham v. California	(Jan. 22)
	2007)U.S,(2007WL135678;)	2007U.S.LEXIS
	13241-3,12-15,19,21-23,26,30	

Case 5:08-cv-03572-RMW Document 1 Filed 07/25/2008 Page 15 of 20 AA-4

Gre	ound 2 or Ground	(if applicable):		-			
		'					
			_				
		·					
a.	Supporting facts:						
					•	:	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
							
			,				
		·					
			•				
					~		
		•					
		_					
							ű.
				<u> </u>			
		•		-			
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•							
	•		·			<u> </u>	
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		_					
b.	Supporting cases, rule	s, or other authority:					
		70					
		. <u></u>					
			~	•			
			`				
						•	

7.

Page 16 of 25h A1-5 Case 5:08-cv-03572-RMW Filed 07/25/2008 Document 1 Yes. XX No. If yes, give the following information: 8. Did you appeal from the conviction, sentence, or commitment? a. Name of court ("Court of Appeal" or "Appellate Dept. of Superior Court"): c. Date of decision: d. Case number or citation of opinion, if known: NA NA e. Issues raised: (1) NA . No. If yes, state the attorney's name and address, if known: Were you represented by counsel on appeal? Yes. NA If yes, give the following information: Yes. X No. 9. Did you seek review in the California Supreme Court? b. Date of decision: NΑ a. Result: NΑ Case number or citation of opinion, if known: NAd. Issues raised: (1) NΑ NΑ 10. If your petition makes a claim regarding your conviction, sentence, or commitment that you or your attorney did not make on appeal, explain why the claim was not made on appeal: NANΑ 11. Administrative Réview: a. If your petition concerns conditions of confinement or other claims for which there are administrative remedies, failure to exhaust administrative remedies may result in the denial of your petition, even if it is otherwise meritorious. (See In re Muszalski (1975) 52 Cal.App.3d 500 [125 Cal.Rptr. 286].) Explain what administrative review you sought or explain why you did not seek such review: NΑ

. a.		s, applications, of Modella Manager 15. Sontinue with number 13. No. If no, skip to number 15.
	(1) Name of court:	
	(2) Nature of proceeding (for example, "habeas corpus	
	(3) Issues raised: (a)	NA
	(b)	
	(4) Result (Attach order or explain why unavailable):	NA.
	(5) Date of decision:	N A
h	(1) Name of court:	
D.	(2) Nature of proceeding:	
	(b)	
	(5) Date of decision:	
c	For additional prior petitions, applications, or motions,	, provide the same information on a separate page.
4. If —		ate name of court, date of hearing, nature of hearing, and result:
 5. E 3.		is for relief and in raising the claims in this petition. (See <i>In re Swain</i> (1949
	There has been no details in	
_		
 3. A	re you presently represented by counsel? Yes.	s. X No. If yes, state the attorney's name and address, if known:
 6. A	re you presently represented by costile	
-	In Pro-Per	
-	re you presently represented by costile	ng in any court? Yes. X No. If yes, explain:
- 7. C	In Pro-Per o you have any petition, appeal, or other matter pending	
 7. C	In Pro-Per o you have any petition, appeal, or other matter pending	ng in any court? Yes. X No. If yes, explain:



Superior Court of the State of California In and For the County of Contra Costa

In re Gary Savage, On Habeas Corpus. No. 071054-1

Decision On Pro. Per. Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.

[Underlying docket No. 5-021689-5.]

The court, on its own motion, takes judicial notice of petitioner's underlying criminal docket as set forth above.

I. Background.

On or about April 2, 2003 petitioner was convicted following jury trial of violation of PC 273.5(a), inflicting corporal injury, PC 236/237, false imprisonment by violence, and PC 245(a)(1), assault by force likely. As a so-called two striker, petitioner was sentenced to the upper term on the PC 273.5(a) count, doubled to 8 years. Sentence on the two other charges was stayed pursuant to PC 654. The actual sentence was pronounced on December 5, 2003.

II. Contentions in the Petition.

Petitioner contends the sentencing court abused its discretion and committed legal error when it imposed an allegedly illegal sentence by sentencing petitioner to 8 years at 80% which is allegedly 5 years above the mandatory sentence a trial court

could impose without a finding by a jury. Petitioner cites and argues that the recent U.S. Supreme Court case of Cunningham v California, decided on January 22, 2007, is applicable to his 2003 sentencing and that the Cunningham case is retroactive.

For the reasons set forth below, there is no merit to the petition.

III. Discussion.

A. Apprendi, Blakely, Cunningham & Retroactivity.

The sole question presented by the instant petition is whether the Cunningham decision is retroactive to petitioner's sentencing in 2003. The simple answer to that question is "no." (See In re Gomez (August 7, 2007) __ Cal.App.4th __, 2007 DJDAR 12060, 2007 Cal.App.Lexis 1291, held: Cunningham is not to be applied retroactively to upper term sentence on collateral habeas review in cases already final when Cunningham was decided.) Petitioner's case was final when Cunningham was decided. Therefore, Cunningham does not apply to petitioner's case.

However, there are two exceptions, the so-called <u>Teague</u> exceptions, to the rule of non-retroactivity. New constitutional rules of criminal procedure are applicable to those cases which have become final before the new rules are announced if, and only if, it falls within one of two narrow exceptions to the general rule of nonretroactivity. A new rule is not given retroactive applications to convictions already final unless the new rule 1.) places certain kinds of primary, private individual conduct beyond the power of the criminal law-making authority to proscribe, or 2.) could be considered a watershed rule of criminal procedure. (People v Amons (2005) 125 Cal.App.4th 855, 864 quotations omitted.)

Neither exception applies here. Cunningham does not purport to address any substantive offense (the first exception) nor does it establish a new rule that falls within the "watershed" exception (the second exception). (In re Gomez, supra, 2007 Cal.App. Lexis at 12062.)

IV. Disposition.

Petition denied.

Dated:

cc: Petitioner 021689-5

jj/moss27u34

Judge of the Superior Court

Supplied Check of Supplied Control of Control

Case 5;08-cv-03572-RMW Document 1 Filed 07/25/2008 Page 20 of 29

Hachted Weithen Weith

Hachted Weithen Weith

EX. "*"

Fxhitits Al-7"

Attached Weiten Weit DE Nabras Corpus Gary Savage √17266 P.O. Box-705 SA1364 Soledad, Ca. 93960-0705

Respondent

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA

GARY SAVAGE

Petitioner

/

vs.

B. CURRY, Warden

/

No • _____

Writ of Habeas Corpus

. I.

INTRODUCTION

1. Petitioner was charged and convicted of Penal Code §273.5(a), Corporal Injury to Spouse, Penal Code §236/237, False Imprison by Violence, Penal Code, §245(a)(1), Assault by Force Likely, and sentenced to an aggravated term of eight (8) years. Count 2-P.C. 236/237 Stayed-P.C.§654, Count 4-P.C.§245(a)(1), Stayed-P.C.§654. The history in this matter before the court will shed an ample amount light concerning the illegal aggravated term in this case. Petitioner was first sentenced to a term of 25 to Life for the above listed crimes and, then brought back to the Superior Court and the sentence reduced to another aggravated term of eight (8) years, which is beyond the term and in violation of petitioners due process rights according to the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments of the

United States Constitution.

· 19

23.

2. Petitioner maintains that the court acted contrary to the law governing petitioners' punishment by sentencing him to an aggravated term. (See Ex.A.) By placing sentence-elevating factfinding within the judges's province, violates a defendant's right to trial by jury safeguarded by the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments.

ΙI

PARTIES

3. The Superior Court of California for the County of Sacramento.

III

STATEMENT OF FACTS

4. Petitioner was tried and convicted of P.C. §273.5(a), P.C. 236/237, P.C. §245(a)(1), which the later two charges were stayed and petitioner was sentenced to eight (8) years in state prison. Under California's determinate sentencing law petitioners offense is punishable by one of three precis terms of imprisonment. The DSL obliged the trial judge to sentence petitioner to the middle term of three (3) years, and not the upper term of four (4) years and then doubled to eight (8) years. According to Penal Code §273.5 (a), petitioner should have been sentenced to the mid-range of three (3) years, petitioner has no prior conviction for P.C. §273.5(a). If petitioner had a prior §273.5(a), he then should be sentenced under penal code §273.5(e), which is not the case.

Page 23 of 29

4

5

3

6 7

> 8 9

10

12

11

14

13

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

- Petitioner reiterates "Apprendi's bright line rule" which Cunningham held was violated in cases such as this one. Under the Due Process Clause of the [Fourteenth] Amendment and the notice and jury trial guarantees of the Admendment, any fact that increases the maximum penalty for a crime must be charged in an indictment of information, submitted to a jury, and proven beyond a reasonable doubt. (Apprendi, supra, at p.476. Here, no upper-term aggravating factor was either charged in the information, or submitted to a jury, or proved beyond a reasonable doubt.
- 6. Petitioner was exposed to a sentence in excess of the relevant statutory maximum which must be found by a jury, not a judge, and established beyond a reasonable doubt, not merely by a preponderance of evidence. See 530 U.S. 446, 490.
- material respects, petitioners' In all resembles the sentencing system invalidated in Blakely and Booker. Following the reasoning in those cases, the middle term prescribed under the California law, not the upper-term, is the relevant statutory maximum. If the aggravating factors were applied under Penal Code §273.5(e) petitioner still should only be sentenced to the middle term accordingly and not the upper term and then doubled.
- By California's determinate sentencing law assigning to the trial judge, and not the jury, authority to find facts that expose petitioner to an elevated upper term sentence. By placing sentence-elevating factfinding within the judge's province, violates petitioner's right to trial by

safeguarded by the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments. Which the court hold's that it does.

- enhancements must be charged in the indictment, which was not the case in this issue before the court. Also, the under lying facts must be proved to the jury beyond a reasonable doubt. Penal Code:1170.1(e); Black, 35 Cal. 4th at 1257, 113p. 3d at 545. A fact underlying an enhancement cannot do double duty; it cannot be used to impose an upper term sentence and, on top of that, an enhanced term. Penal Code \$1170(b). Where permitted by statue, however, a judge may use a fact qualifying as an enhancer to impose an upper term rather than an enhanced sentence. Ibid; Rule 4.420(c).
- 10. In petitioner's case the judge should not have sentenced petitioner above the standard range without finding the additional facts. Consequently, that fact or facts would be subjected to the Sixth Admendment's jury trial guarantee. 542 U.S., at 304-314. The judge in petitioner's case inflicted punishment that the jury's verdict alone did not allow, the jury has not found all the fact's which the law makes essential to the punishment...and the judge exceeds his proper authority. Criminal Procedure §,p. 55 (2d ed.1872).
- 11. Because the judge in petitioner's case could not have imposed a sentence outside the standard range without a jury finding additional facts the top of that range would be the middle range of three (3) years according to the Penal Code §273.5(a). Petitioner's abstract of Judgement reflects Three charges, two (2) stayed, and one (1) P.C. §273.5(a) as

Page 25 of 29

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

1.5

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

the controlling term. (See Ex.B)

12. A penalty provision that meets this criterion exposing the petitioner to a greater punishment than that authorized by the jury's guilty verdict, i.e., one that constitutes an increase beyond the maximum authorized statutory sentence is the functional equivalent of an element of greater offense than the one covered by the jury's guilty verdict. (People v. Seel, supra, 34 Cal.4th p.548 [quoting Apprendi, 530 U.S. at p. 494, fn 19].) As it was restated in Apprendi said that any fact extending Cunningham; defendant's sentence beyond the maximum authorized by the jury's verdict would have been considered an element of an aggravated crime and thus the domain of the jury by those who framed the Bill of Rights. (Cunningham,____ U.S. at p.___[2007 U.S. LEXIS 1324, at pp. 23-24][citation omitted]; see generally Apprendi, 530 U.S. at pp. 478-483, 494, fn. 19].)

13. Futhermore, petitioner did not personally waive his right to a jury trial on the issue of aggravating factors. Cunningham established that a defendant has a federal constitutional right to a jury trial as to all factors, other than the fact of a prior conviction, that are used to impose the upper term. His waiver of that right must be knowing and voluntary and must appear on the record; it will not be presumed. (Boykin v. Alabama (1969) 395 U.S. 238; see also California Constitution, article I, section 16; People v. Ernst (1994) 8 Cal. 4th 441, 445.)

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ΙV

CONTENTIONS

sentencing petitioner tο court the aggravated term it violated petitioners due process rights according to the U.S. Constitution and therefore he should be resentenced accordingly.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Petitioner is without remedy save for Habeas Corpus.

Accordingly, petitioner request that the court:

- Issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus;
- 2. Issue an order to show cause;
- 3. Order the court to resentence petitioner appropriate term.

CONCLUSION

Thus, this court should reduce the upper term sentence to a three (3) year mid-term on count three (3), the maximum sentence permitted by the jury's verdict under Cunningham. (Penal Code § 1260; People v. Schueren supra 10 Cal. 3d at pp. 561-562.)

Dated:

6-27-07

Gary Savage

Page 27 of 29

VERIFICATION

I, Gary Savage, state:

I am the petitioner in this action. I have read the foregoing petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and the facts stated therein are true of my own knowledge, except as to matters that are therein stated on my own information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was excuted. on ____, at Soledad State Prison, Soledad California.

Gary Savage

In Pro-Per

RECEIVED

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GALIFORNIA JUL 1 8 7013

450 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE CLERK OF UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFO ATTENTION: RICHARD W. WIEKING

Confidential

SAN FRANCISCO

CACIE

20148

SONFIDENT A

Mailed From 93204
US POSTAGE 07/16/2008 \$02.360 049J82042606 6) Sica 3/15/08